1	CHRISTOPHER J. ALLEVA, *								BEFORE THE							
2	PETITIONER							PLA	PLANNING BOARD OF							
3	ZRA	-173					*	НО	HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND							
4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
5		MO	FION:	To re	ecommen	d den	ial of ZR	A-173 a	ccording	to the 1)PZ rec	ommen	dation, a	nd to		
6	recommend that DPZ and the County Council consider the issue of establishing															
7	standards for aggrievement.															
8	ACTION:			Reco	Recommended denial for ZRA-173 and recommended approval that DPZ and the											
9	County Council consider the issue of establishing standards for aggrievement.;															
10	<i>Vote 3 to 0.</i>															
11	*	*	*	*	*	<i>k</i>	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
12						R	ECOMM	ENDA	ΓΙΟΝ							
13	On August 3 ,2017, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, considered the petition of															
14	Christopher J. Alleva to amend Section 130.0.A. in the Howard County Zoning Regulations to define															
15	eligibility standards for entities to be considered an "aggrieved person" in a Hearing Authority appeal case,															
16	and also to specify that decisions of the Planning Board may be appealed to the Hearing Authority.															
17	The Planning Board considered the petition, the Department of Planning and Zoning Technical Staff															
18	Report and Recommendation, and reviewing agency comments. The Department of Planning and Zoning															
19	recommended denial of the petition based on finding that proposed amendments conflict with the County															
20	Code, which addresses appeals of Planning Board decisions.															
21																
22	Testimony															
23	The Petitioner stated that the purpose of the amendments is to correct an error that exists in the															
24	County Code regarding appeals of decisions related to zoning and land development matters. Mr. Alleva															
25	reviewed a number of Board of Appeals cases that have been dismissed due to lack of standing and asserted															
26	that the code needs to clearly define who can stand for appeal. Mr. Alleva requested that the Board															
27	recommend approval of ZRA-173 and that the County Council correct the error in the County Code and															
28	define who is aggrieved. Nichole Galvin, William Ingles, Stuart Kohn, and Jean Wilson testified in support of											ort of				
29	establishing eligibility standards for aggrieved persons to provide clarity and ensure citizen's appeal rights.										nts.					
30																
31							scussion a									
32							l that a Zo	-	•				~~ ~			
33	process to correct the error in County Code. Also, a Board member suggested that the proposed definition of											on of				

aggrieved person is too broad.

1										
2	Motion and Vote									
3	Mr. Coleman made the motion to recommend denial of ZRA-173 and recommended that DPZ and the									
4	County Council look at defining aggrieved person and clean up references in code so that they point to correct									
5	sections. Ms. Roberts seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 3 to 0.									
6	For the foregoing reasons, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, on this 7th day of									
7	September, 2017, recommends that ZRA-173, as described above, be DENIED, and recommends that DPZ									
8	and the County Council consider the issue of establishing standards for aggrievement.									
9										
10	HOWARD COUNTY PLANNING BOARD									
11	ABSENT									
12	Phillips Engelke, Chair									
13	China Roberto									
14	Erica Roberts, V/de-chair									
15	X the Sun-									
16	Delphine Adler									
17										
18	Ed Coleman									
19										
20	ATTEST:									
21	Marin Jalla									
22	Valdis Lazdins, Executive Secretary									
23										
24										
25										
	2									