1	CHASE LAND, LLC AND							*	BEI	BEFORE THE					
2	ANNAPOLIS JUNCTION HOLDINGS, LP							*	PLA	PLANNING BOARD OF					
3	PETITIONER							*	HO	HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND					
4								*							
5	DEVI	ELOP	MENT	RIGH 7	FS AN	D		*							
6	RESPONSIBILITIES AGREEMENT														
7	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
8		мот	ION:	To re	comme	nd to the	e Count	y Coun	cil that th	he Devel	opment	Rights a	ınd		
9	Responsibilities Agreement for the Chase Quarry is consistent with the General														
10	Plan, PlanHoward 2030.														
11	ACTION: Recommended approval; Vote							te 5-0.							
12	*	*	ż	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
13		On Aj	pril 4, 2	019, the	Plannin	ig Board	of Hov	vard Co	unty, Ma	ryland, o	consider	ed the p	etition of	f Chase	
14	Land,	LLC ar	nd Anna	ipolis Ju	nction	Holding	s, LP fo	or a pro	posed D	evelopm	ent Rigl	nts and	Respons	ibilities	
15	Agree	ment (D	RRA) f	or the Cl	hase Qu	arry pro	perty.								
16			The F	Planning	Board	consider	ed the I	petition	, the Dep	artment	of Plan	ning and	l Zoning	(DPZ)	
17	Techn	ical Sta	ff Repoi	t and Re	ecomme	endation	and pu	blic test	timony. I	OPZ reco	ommend	ed a fin	ding of (General	
18	Plan consistency between the DRRA and PlanHoward 2030.														
19	The Petitioner was represented by Sang Oh, Esquire. Mr. Oh provided a brief overview of the DRRA														
20	and development approvals for the Chase Quarry property.														
21															
22							Test	timony							
23															
24	Three individuals provided public testimony on the merits of the Development Rights and Responsibilities Agreement.														
25	Respo		-			-1	•,		11			1			
26 27	-646-			•	-		2		Why No	•	-		2		
27	benefit		citing tr	lat the ag	greemer	it provid	les scho	of facili	ties, pub	lie impro	vement	s, and of	iner com	munity	
28	Denem	Mr. Hurewitz provided testimony in opposition to the DRRA, expressing concerns related to county													
30	regula														
31	regula	regulations, prior approvals and permits for the quarry, and General Plan policies for community design. Ms. Wald provided testimony in favor of the DRRA stating that it allows continuing the quarry's													
32	onerati		•			•			develop t	-			0	uurry 5	
33	operation		non pro	rues pu	0110 0011	erno, un	u 110 u.)		autorop .	ne prope	ity at a				
34					Bo	ard Disc	ussion a	and Rec	ommend	ation					
35					20										
36		In woi	k sessic	on, one E	Board m	ember co	omment	ed that	the purpo	ose of the	e DRRA	is to pro	ovide		
														1	

agreement on the future development of a property and the rights of each party. The owner is seeking to
secure the use of their property now while preserving future redevelopment rights, which are not presently
known. The Board concluded that the agreement is supported by General Plan policies cited in the Technical
Staff Report.

One Board member commented that the DRRA supports long range planning efforts for the area by incorporating connectivity and public infrastructure for future development. It was also noted that the Howard County Public School System Board had already chosen the school site and site selection is not a factor the Board is asked to consider.

Another Board member clarified that the approval of a final DRRA was the responsibility of the County Council and Executive.

Several board members commented that the DRRA is consistent with the General Plan. One Board member specifically noted that the DRRA includes the ability to apply regulatory changes for the safety and welfare of the county.

Based on the information presented, and the Board's discussion, Mr. Coleman made a motion that the Planning Board recommend finding the DRRA consistent with the General Plan. Mr. McAliley seconded the motion, which passed 5-0.

HOWARD COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

illins Engelke Chair

Erica Roberts, Vice-chair

Delphine Adler

Ed Coleman

Kevin McAliley

32 ATTEST:

Valdis Lazdins, Executive Secretary